

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,536	10/11/2005	David Hilton	034083-9403-00	4335
23409 7590 09/19/2008 MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP			EXAMINER	
100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE			ALLISON, ANDRAE S	
Suite 3300 MILWAUKEI	E, WI 53202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) HILTON ET AL. 10/552,536

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	ANDRAE S. ALLISON	2624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ldress				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed distributed by (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If MO period for reply wish the set or extended period for reply with by shade, cause the application to become MARMONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any cased partner from adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Oc	ctober 2005.						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.	· _						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 October 2005 is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected	to by the Examir	ier.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)∏ Some * c)∏ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3.☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Day	(PTO-413) ate					
3) X Information Disclosure Statement's (PTO/Shirth)	5). Notice of Informal F						

Attachment(s)		
1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftspersor's Patent Anniang Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Gittlement(s) (PTO/95/09) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/04/2008: 12/04/2008	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots/Mail Date 5) Actine of Informal Paters Application 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/552,536

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show
every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the individual
characters, machine readable data and human readable data printed must be shown or
the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,536 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

Claim Objections

Claims 17-25 are objected to because of the following informalities: each section
of the claim should begin with lower case letters; e.g. the phrase "Encoding
algebraically" should read "encoding algebraically". Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 18-25 are being objected as incorporating the deficiencies of the claim upon which each respective claim depends.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The subject matter of claim 1 is directed to verifying the authenticity of printed document. However, it is unclear as to exactly what, the individual characters are. Furthermore, the spec does not clearly define what are "human readable characters" and "machine readable characters". Thus it unclear as to how the probabilities are being assessed. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would not have been able to practice the invention without undue experimentation and burden.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,536

Art Unit: 2624

Claims 2 -27 are being rejected as incorporating the deficiencies of the claim upon which each respective claim depends

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the individual characters" in line 5-6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the probability" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the form of encoding" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 2 and 4-27 are being rejected as incorporating the deficiencies of the claim upon which each respective claim depends.

. Examiners Notes

Pending the clarification of the 112 rejection, there is no prior art available for rejecting claims 1-27. Application/Control Number: 10/552,536 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

Inquires

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDRAE S. ALLISON whose telephone number is (571)270-1052. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 am - 5:00 pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jingge Wu can be reached on (571) 272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrae Allison

September 13, 2008

/Samir A. Ahmed/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624

Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/552,536	HILTON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ANDRAE S. ALLISON	2624	